which have been thrown together

10 Southwell Gardens SW 5 March

My dear Dr Gray - I must not any longer delay thanking you for your kind letter a the valuable and important paper which accompanied it - I Rent this on receiving it to Dyer and have been unable to get it back from him - he being at present Overpewered with with and at the same time much occupied and anxious about his wife's health. She has been for some time in a condition that causes hurch uneasings to all her pieuds the more so perhaps that the medical men find it so hard to discover any defunite cause for the weakening of all the

functions - and reduction of thengthe -I do not know that from a Junely selfish point of view I should be glad or sorry their the factes may possibly keep you and mri Gray in hew Englace this summer - It-Seemed only too good firling forme to have the chances of meeting you in the Exests but on the other hand the prospect of finding the supports a countervance of such hand friends may make the whole difference as to my wife, undertaking to crop the ablantic - as you Know there is some chance, though an uncertain one

of the Hookers also making the Same expedition _ Will you kindly let me know Where no greene now is - 4) Should be able to make a run to the far west I should like to neet him & steak write to him in any case. le porteur half give the need ful averef. I think that I should willingly - absente to everything in your paper but when I get it back I shall have more to say which I reserve I may however at once tell you that my views as to the new Steadel lieve been Considerably modified since I first wrote a we are now here pretty marly of one mind on The subject? Even Aoother though with some reluctance having Come round to agree with Dike

by D. A. H. we think that the course should be to give the supposing going B tobe. unted to gener A. B. (Aspecies Bath. Gen A. . ..) x then insert the alphabeterally the species of B as hitherts Jublished while as to such species Wile under nospecific hauses Should be given save Those which have been already published under that generic name We think however that Where the author of the Gen Pl. have specifically apperted that as a species of a given genus Even though they have not printed The two names together

the implication is so complete that they ought to be quoted. To take the last plant that I was examiny - Heinzelia litheroides of Nal E in Flor. Bras. Beuthan districtly after to after examination that it cannot be separated from Cheeto thylax x in such a case I thunk that we should print-C. Lythroides B & H. not to love another furt Hose with our hundert greetings to mor Gray & your self buy theen yours John Ball

P.S. The staff at hew is very fully occupied & besides Oliver is rather aft to take peculiar views on matters of detail to which he ching, very fast & Baker who has in immense whacity is not very fit our deciding quettions of munite actual requiring patient weeting action - In practice a load of work which the more one looks into it the larger it seems would be thrown on Hooker - and it is just the 20 th of work for which ie is least fitted_ To require him to decide the dozens of doubtful questions arising in the case of lachlarge genus would lead to immense worry & expenditure of lines & patience a when all was done the work would be for from filles as you doubtleft have observed that he has made a good many mistakes on points of detail of this watere more on this subject in my next

M